UNITED STATES DISTRICT CONSOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		
	X	
	:	
IN RE:	:	MEMORANDUM DECISION
	:	AND ORDER
TERRORIST ATTACKS ON	:	02.1 (DL 1570 (CDD) (CL)
SEPTEMBER 11, 2001	:	03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (SN)
	:	
	X	
This document relates to:		

Ashton, et al., v. Islamic Republic of Iran, et al., No. 02-cv-6977 (GBD) (SN) GEORGE B. DANIELS, United States District Judge:

On July 8, 2022, numerous Plaintiffs in the *Ashton* case referenced above moved for default judgments against the Islamic Republic of Iran, as well as economic and solatium damages resulting from the death of their loved ones killed in the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks. (Pls.' Mot. for Default Js. as to *Ashton 24 Wrongful Death Plaintiffs*, ECF No. 8191.)¹ One Plaintiff, Shenan Bradhsaw, moves for solatium damages on grounds that her close relationship with a victim killed in the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks merits a finding that she is functionally a family member of the victim ("functional equivalent"). (*Id.*) Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Sarah Netburn's July 26, 2022 Report and Recommendation (the "Report"), recommending that the Court grant Plaintiffs' motion in part and recommending the amounts in which each Plaintiff should be awarded solatium damages. (Report, ECF No. 8269, at 1.) Magistrate Judge Netburn advised the parties that

All citations included herein refer to documents filed in the 9/11 multidistrict litigation docket. See In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001, 03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (SN).

failure to file timely objections to the Report would constitute a waiver of those objections on appeal.² (*Id.* at 42.)

I. LEGAL STANDARDS

A court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations" set forth in a magistrate judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of a magistrate judge's report to which a party properly objects. *Id.* Portions of a magistrate judge's report to which no or "merely perfunctory" objections are made are reviewed for clear error. *Edwards v. Fischer*, 414 F. Supp. 2d 342, 346–47 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (citation omitted). Clear error is present only when "upon review of the entire record, [the court is] left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed." *United States v. Snow*, 462 F.3d 55, 72 (2d Cir. 2006) (citation omitted).

No party has filed any objections. Accordingly, after a clear error review the Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Netburn's Report in full.

II. MAGISTRATE JUDGE NETBURN DID NOT ERR IN HER RECOMMENDATIONS ON DAMAGES

Magistrate Judge Netburn did not commit clear error in finding that Plaintiffs properly served Iran and that Iran is liable to these Plaintiffs. Service was rightfully achieved by diplomatic means, and it has become well-established that Iran has yet to appear in this action. (Report at 2.)" "The only outstanding question is [Plaintiffs'] damages." (*Id.* at 2.)

² Any objections are due by August 9, 2022. Given Defendant's default in all related cases, no objections from Defendant are expected. If any party files timely objections, the Court will reconsider that portion of this order.

There has been a long-established framework for solatium damages awarded to family members of victims who died from the 9/11 attacks. (*See* Report at 2-3; ECF No. 2623.) "Additionally, the Court has consistently authorized the award of economic damages caused by a decedent's wrongful death where those damage calculations are appropriately supported by expert testimony." (Report at 3.) There have been "limited circumstances...damages have been awarded to non-immediate family members who are the 'functional equivalent' of immediate family." (Report at 3.) On October 14, 2016, with refinements on August 8, 2017, this Court established a framework for determining if a Plaintiff is a functional equivalent of immediate family. (*See* ECF No. 3384; ECF No. 3795.)³ Magistrate Judge Netburn Report correctly applied these same frameworks to the instant motion seeking damages for similar injuries. (Report at 4-13.)

Specifically, Magistrate Judge Netburn properly recommended awards of solatium damages for Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit A of this opinion. The Report did not err in finding that the *Ashton* Plaintiffs submitted affidavits regarding their compliance with safeguards ordered by this Court. (*See* Decl. in Supp. of Mot. for Final Default Js., ECF No. 8192.) Magistrate Judge Netburn also reviewed the evidentiary support Plaintiffs submitted through experts demonstrating economic damages. (Report at 3.)

As for Plaintiff Shenan Bradhsaw's request to be treated a functional equivalent, the Report accurately describes the relevant relationship and considers the relevant factors in evaluating whether the relationship was functionally equivalent. (Report at 3–6.) Specifically, Magistrate Judge Netburn carefully examined the delicate competing factors between Bradhsaw's relationship with her stepmother and her biological mother. Magistrate

³ This framework has been used on four separate occasions. (See, e.g., ECF No. 5950; ECF No. 5950.)

Judge Netburn did not commit a clear error in finding that an award of half solatium damages is appropriate for Bradshaw as listed in Exhibit A of this opinion. (See Report at 6.)

Additionally, Magistrate Judge Netburn appropriately found that (1) "Plaintiffs be awarded prejudgment interest on these damages from September 11, 2001, to the date of judgment, at a rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually;" (2) "Plaintiffs may apply for punitive, economic, and other damages at a later date;" and (3) "any [Ashton] plaintiffs not appearing in this Motion who were not previously awarded damages may still submit applications for damages awards in later stages." (Id. at 13-14.)

III. CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Judgments, (ECF No. 8191), is GRANTED. It is

ORDERED that the Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded judgments for pain and suffering damages as set forth in Exhibit A; and it is

ORDERED that prejudgment interest is awarded to be calculated at a rate of 4.96 percent per annum, all interest compounded annually over the same period; and it is

ORDERED that the Plaintiffs not appearing on Exhibit A and who were not previously awarded damages may submit in later stages applications for punitive, economic, and/or other damages awards that may be approved on the same basis as currently approved for those Plaintiffs appearing on Exhibit A or in prior filings.

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 8289 Filed 07/28/22 Page 5 of 10

The Clerk of Court is directed to close the motions in 03 MDL 1570, ECF No. 7987, and No. 02-cv-6977, ECF No. 1666.

Dated:

WUL 2 8 2022 New York, New York

SO ORDERED.

EXHIBIT A

			Table I: Pl	Table 1: Plaintiff Damages				
		ECF No. Reflecting	Solatium	Functional	Prior	Economic	Solatium	Total
Plaintiff	Decedent	Plaintiff's Addition to Ashton ³	Relationship	Equivalent	Awards	Damages	Damages	Judgment
Paula H. Cottoy	Conrod Cottoy Sr.	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$683,023.00	N/A	\$2,683,023.00
Victoria Higley	Robert Higley	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$7,294,150.00	N/A	\$9,294,150.00
Marjorie Miller	Joel Miller	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,708,341.00	N/A	\$3,708,341.00
Jennifer Mingione	Thomas Mingione	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$5,366,845.00	N/A	\$7,366,845.00
Merrilly E. Noeth	Michael Noeth	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$762,237.00	N/A	\$2,762,237.00
Emily Vega-Faltas	Harold Lizcano	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,677,804.00	N/A	\$3,677,804.00
Janine Snyder	Leonard J. Snyder Sr.	465	N/A	N/A	\$2,000,000.00	\$7,430,264.00	N/A	\$9,430,264.00
Ashley Amato	James M. Amato	1664	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Katherine Amato	James M. Amato	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Sean Amato	James M. Amato	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Tara Amato	James M. Amato	8591	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Michael Esposito	Michael Esposito	8591	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Andrew Esposito	Michael Esposito	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Alexandra Fallone	Anthony Fallone	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Katherine Fallone	Anthony Fallone	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Patrick Fallone	Anthony Fallone	1658	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A

³ All citations in this column are to the <u>Ashton</u> docket: 02-cv-6977.

N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
\$8,500,000.00	\$12,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	84,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8 V/A	N/A \$	N/A &	N/A S	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Child	Spouse	Child	Child	Child	Child	Sibling	Child	Child	Parent	Sibling	Sibling	Sibling	Sibling	Child	Child	Child	Child
1658	1658	1573	1573	1573	1573	1658	1658	1658	1664	1664	1664	1664	1664	1658	1658	1658	1658
Anthony	Syed A. Fatha	Paul J. Gill	Paul J. Gill	Emeric J. Harvev	Emeric J. Harvev	Anthony Hawkins	Stephen LaMantia	Stephen LaMantia	Diane T. Lipari	Diane T. Lipari	Diane T. Lipari	Diane T. Lipani	Diane T. Lipari	Joseph Maggitti	Joseph Maggitti	John G. Monahan	John G. Monahan
Anthony Fallone,	Melissa A. Fatha Van Ness	Aaron Gill	Joshua Gill	Jennifer H. Castellano	Antoinette McCarthy	Hafeezah Legree	Stephen C. LaMantia	Emily LaMantia	Lorraine H. Gardella	Lorraine A. Gardella	Susan Kraljic	Joseph Lipari	Michael Lipari	Christopher J. Maggitti	Lauren Salbeck	Christopher J. Monahan	Terrence M. Monahan

4																		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X X	N/A	A/X	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
\$4,250,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$8,500,000.00	\$4,250,000.00	\$4,250,000.00
V Z	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Z/Z	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Z V	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Personal Representative of the Estate of Anthony X. Morell, deceased Sibling of 9/11 Decedent George W. Morell	Child	Child	Sibling	Sibling	Sibling	Sibling	Child	Child	Child	Child	Child	Child	Parent	Sibling	Child	Child	Sibling	Sibling
1658	1658	1664	1658	1658	8591	1658	1664	1664	1664	1516	1573	1573	1573	1517	1658	1658	1573	1573
George W. Morell	Richard Prunty	Richard Prunty	James B. Reilly	James B. Reilly	James B. Reilly	James B. Reilly	Tatiana Ryjova	Tatiana Ryjova	Eric Sand	Paul F. Sarle	Paul F. Sarle	Paul F. Sarle	Paul F. Sarle	Leonard J. Snyder, Jr.	Luis E. Torres	Luis E. Torres	Martin Wohlforth	Martin Wohlforth
Alexandra Morell	Lisa P. Aram	Christopher Prunty	Jeanne Kennedy	Christine Reilly	Thomas E. Reilly	William J. Reilly	Alex Ryjov	Daniel Ryjov	Aaron Sand	John P. Sarle	Leeann Sarle	Paul J. Sarle	Norma A. Sarle	Christopher Snyder	Nicholas Torres	Kevin Torres	Priscilla Stumm	Elizabeth Tatsuno

Elizabeth C.	Martin	1573	Child	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,500,000.00	N/A
Wohlforth	Wohlforth							
Shenan M.	Sandra W.	1350	Stepdaughter	Child	N/A	N/A	\$4,250,000.00	N/A
Bradshaw	Bradshaw							